

IAAO Code of Ethics and Standards of Professional Conduct

Adopted by the IAAO Executive Board, September 19, 2005.

Preamble

As a matter of fundamental principle, IAAO members should adhere to the highest ethical standards. Public trust in our performance is the foundation of our credibility. Assessment professionals support IAAO because they trust us to be good stewards of their resources, to uphold rigorous standards of conduct and to serve as a catalyst for excellence in the assessment profession.

Nonprofit organizations must earn this trust every day. It is up to all members of the IAAO – Executive Board members, committee members, volunteers, staff and the general membership – to demonstrate their ongoing commitment to the core values of integrity, honesty, fairness, openness, respect and responsibility.

The purpose of this Code of Ethics and Standards of Professional Conduct is to establish guidelines for assessing officials and all members of the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) and set forth standards by which to judge an IAAO member whose conduct is in question. Members shall conduct themselves in a professional manner that reflects favorably upon themselves, the organization, the appraisal profession, and the property tax system, and avoid any action that could discredit themselves or these entities.

Adherence to the IAAO Constitution, Bylaws, Procedural Rules and Code of Ethics is the minimum standard of expected behavior. We must do more, however, than simply obey the rules. We must embrace the spirit of the governing documents, and go beyond stated requirements, making sure that what we do is matched by what the membership perceives and expects. Transparency, openness and responsiveness to member's concerns must be integral to our behavior.

Statement of Values

The Code of Ethics of the International Association of Assessing Officers is built on a foundation of widely shared values. These values include our:

- Commitment to the improvement of the property tax system worldwide;
- Accountability to the public good;
- Commitment to excellence in assessment administration beyond property tax law;
- Respect for the worth and dignity of all individuals;
- Promotion of inclusiveness, fairness and diversity;
- Obligation to organizational transparency, integrity and honesty;
- Practice of responsible stewardship of resources; and
- Dedication to excellence, and maintaining the public trust.

The values are reflected in the following Code of Ethics of the International Association of Assessing Officers.

Definitions

For definitions of terms relating to appraisal practice, refer to the definitions section of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP).

Exceptions

If compliance with or adherence to any Canon or Ethical Rule set forth in the IAAO Code of Ethics and Standards of Professional Conduct would constitute a violation of the law of any jurisdiction, such Canon or Ethical Rule shall be void and of no force or effect in such jurisdiction.

In stating each individual Canon or Ethical Rule, no attempt has been made to enumerate all of the various circumstances and conditions that will excuse an IAAO member from strict observance; however, the IAAO recognizes that illness, acts of God, and various other events beyond the control of an IAAO member may make it inequitable to insist upon a strict observance in a particular case. When an IAAO member, in the exercise of reasonable care, commits a violation due to illness, acts of God, or other circumstances beyond his or her control, it is expected that the Ethics Committee will act in a manner that will avoid an inequitable result.

Inasmuch as there are other remedies under applicable federal, state/provincial , and local laws, nothing in this Code shall apply to the conduct of a member toward his or her employees and other workers in the member's assessment office or appraisal business, including, but not limited to, employment discrimination.

Canon 1: (Professional Duties)

Members shall conduct their professional duties and any activities as a member of IAAO in a manner that reflects credit upon themselves, their profession and the organization.

Ethical Rules

ER 1-1 It is unethical for members to conduct their professional duties in a manner that could reasonably be expected to create the appearance of impropriety.

ER 1-2 It is unethical for members to accept an appraisal or assessment -related assignment which they are not qualified to perform.

ER 1-3 It is unethical for members knowingly to fail in performance of their duties according to applicable laws and regulations or in the uniform application of such laws and regulations.

ER 1-4 It is unethical for members to refuse (by intent or omission) to make available all public records in their custody for public review, unless access to such records is specifically limited or prohibited by law, or the information has been obtained on a confidential basis and the law permits such information to be treated confidentially. Assessing officers must make every reasonable effort to inform the public about their rights and responsibilities under the law and the property tax system.

ER 1-5 It is unethical for members to refuse to cooperate with public officials to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the property tax in particular and public administration in general.

ER 1-6 It is unethical to engage in misconduct of any kind that leads to a conviction for a crime involving fraud, dishonesty, false statements, or moral turpitude.

ER 1-7 It is unethical to perform any appraisal, assessment , or consulting service that is not in compliance with the IAAO governing documents or the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

Canon 2: (Truthfulness)

Members shall not make public statements (written or oral) that are untrue or tend to mislead or deceive the public in the course of performing their professional duties.

Ethical Rules

ER 2-1 It is unethical to provide inaccurate, untruthful, or misleading information to solicit assessment-related assignments or to use misleading claims or promises of relief that could lead to loss of confidence in appraisal or assessment professionals by the public.

ER 2-2 It is unethical to claim an IAAO professional designation unless authorized, whether the claim is verbal or written, or to claim qualifications that are not factual or may be misleading.

ER 2-3 It is unethical to fail to recognize the source (s) of any materials quoted or cited in writings or speeches.

Canon 3: (Conflict of Interest)

Members shall not engage in any activities in which they have, or may reasonably be considered by the public as having, a conflict of interest.

Ethical Rules

ER 3-1 It is unethical for members to accept an appraisal or assessment-related assignment that can reasonably be construed as being in conflict with their responsibility to their jurisdiction, employer, or client, or in which they have an unrevealed personal interest or bias.

ER 3-2 It is unethical to accept an assignment or responsibility in which there is a personal interest without full disclosure of that interest.

ER 3-3 It is unethical to accept an assignment or participate in an activity where a conflict of interest exists and could be perceived as a bias, or impair objectivity.

Canon 4: (Support of IAAO)

Members shall abide by and support the provisions of the IAAO Constitution, Bylaws, and Procedural Rules.

Ethical Rules

ER 4-1 It is unethical for an IAAO member to:

- (a) Knowingly to make false statements or submit misleading information when completing a membership application, or to refrain from promptly submitting any significant information in the possession of such member when requested to do so as part of an IAAO membership application.
- (b) Knowingly to submit misleading information to the duly authorized Ethics Committee or subcommittee; to refrain from promptly submitting any significant information in the possession of the member as requested by the committee or subcommittee; to refuse to appear for a personal interview or participate in an interview conducted by telephone as scheduled by the committee or subcommittee; or to refuse to answer promptly all relevant questions concerning an appraisal or assessment-related assignment or related testimony being investigated by the committee or subcommittee. Any member who has submitted misleading information to the Ethics Committee may be subject to ethical charges filed by the committee.
- (c) Fail or refuse to submit promptly to an authorized IAAO committee a written appraisal report or file memorandum containing data, reasoning, and conclusions, or to fail or refuse to permit an authorized committee to review an appraisal report, assessment-related assignment, or file memorandum when requested to do so by a person or persons authorized to review such material.
- (d) Fail or refuse to submit promptly any significant information in the possession of a member concerning the status of litigation related to an ethics matter when requested to do so by the chair of the Ethics Committee; or knowingly to submit misleading information to the chair of the Ethics Committee concerning the status of litigation.

ER 4-2 It is unethical to fail to comply with the terms of a summons issued by the Ethics Committee.

ER 4-3 It is unethical to refuse to cooperate fully with the IAAO Executive Board, Ethics Committee and the staff of IAAO in all matters related to the enforcement of this Code, as set forth in the Ethics Committee 's Rules and Procedures, as amended from time to time.

ER 4-4 It is unethical to violate the IAAO Constitution, Bylaws, or Procedural Rules.

Canon 5: (Professional Duties)

Members shall comply with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

Ethical Rules

ER 5-1 It is unethical to fail to observe the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.